App. F. IX-4

1927. (Mysore)

File No. 96-27

Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References
Misc 3348 23-1-5

Later References
Misc 96-2786 2-8-

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2--

Part B for November 1927

SUBJECT

Restoration of the Chattram building at ~~Hirisave~~ Channapatna.

To

The Mess Comr

No 800 § 17-11-27

States that the District Board may keep the building as a newspaper khana

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

NOTES.

File No 96-27

FILE HEADING.

Restoration of the chattram building at Channapatna by Mr Munisamy Iyer, Contractor

From

The Mun: Comr, in Mysore

No. C 30 ~~26~~-27 Dated 19-10-27 Received

Diary No. 483	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends that the chattram building after restoration may be attached to the Major Muzrai institutions at Channapatna and a watchman appointed to the institution, his pay Rs 5/- being from the funds of the Sri Varadarajaswami Temple and Sri Appaneeya Swami Temple -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

[illegible] in D.O. 14-5 in file
the letter No 1686 & 7-27 dtd 19-10-27 it will be noticed
that the pay of the watchman & the expenses
charges of the chattram are to be met from
the chattrams income -

The pay of the watchman is now proposed
to be met from the funds of the Sri Varadaraja Swami
[illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat mer2 File No. 96 of 1927-28

Serial No

temple and Sri Appanna Swami temple, Kodiyeri it is difficult to them and placed under the control of the Mag: Dept. The Channiakkadu by that temple is accessible to them. In most of the cases from the very beginning of the case without advancing a step, it will cause by the Channiakkadu Chetties to them and hostile contempt. Reasons of the workings for in the case to be met.

Reasons are for orders as to whether the proposal may be sanctd? —

(1) - How a Chattram can be (2) attached to two temples,

(2) how such a course benefits the temples & who should bear its maintenance charges are, points to be cleared before sanct the M.P.'s recommendation Enquiry may perhaps be made on the point.

RA 2/11/27 The Board may keep the buildings as a Musafirkhana if they do not deem desirable to sanction expe

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 96 of 1927.

Serial No

out of two temples which have nothing to do with the chattram to maintain the chattram

For perusal and orders

MD 4/11

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
4 NOV. 1927
No. 2395

6459/5/11

(Second Member)

1 Draft letter is submd. Kind, reference is invited to para 3 of the P.W.D. The existence of the Anjaneya temple in the chattram is assigned as a reason for the chattram not attaching to the Dist Board.

Practically every chattram has a temple attached to it. Members of Anjaneya Sannidhanam undertake charge of its worships

SD 7/11/27

Issue MD 4/11

2 To,
Mun Commr
No 800 dt 17.11.27
States that the Dt Bd may keep the building as a ~~Rest~~ Musafirkhana & that it is not desirable to sanction any expenditure on the temples by the Dt Bd

0/26-27
Two Fonefile

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 17th October 1927.

19

REV SECRETARIAT
22 OCT 1927
MUZRAI
2483

From

K. J. D'Souza, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz 96-27-1

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1151/M.F.216-27-5 dated 5th February 1927 regarding the reclamation of the chattram land in Channapatna, I have the honour to state that the orders of Government were communicated to the Deputy Commissioner and he was requested to report

(i) Whether it is now possible, under orders of Mr. Muniswami Iyengar of the Committee of the District Board to provide conveniences for the travelling public, they were willing to allow a small recurring grant for the upkeep and repair of the chattram and leave it entirely in control of the Muzrai Department in reference to the wishes of Mr. Muniswami Iyer.

(ii) Or whether in the alternative we, the Religious Endowments Department to take charge of the major Muzrai institutions of the place, the charge on account of watchman, etc being met out of the funds belonging to the institution.

2. From the correspondence of the District Board (copy sent in the records) it will be seen that they are in favour of maintaining the chattram and keeping it under their control.

The Dharmadarsis of the major temples of the place are also willing to contribute a sum of Rs.5/- each for the upkeep of the Sri Varadarajaswami temple and Rs.3/- from the endowments towards the pay of the Care-taker, provided the Department takes over the chattram under the Muzrai Department.

Mr. Muniswami Iyer has [illegible]

binding himself to renovate the building at a cost and make it over to the Muzrai Department in view of the darsis willingness to pay for the watchman.

3. Agreeing with the above, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that early sanction of Government may be obtained to the proposal and adds that the recommendation is made in view of the fact that there is an Anjaneya Temple inside the building which is at times entered into by non-Hindus and which may lead to communal ------ friction at any time.

4. In view of the generous terms under which Mr. Munisami Iyer is prepared to restore the building and make it over to Government and of the willingness of the Dharmadarsis to contribute towards the pay of the watchman, I beg to support the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation and solicit sanction of Government to the proposals made above. Both the temples are major Institutions and their finances allow of a small monthly contribution being made towards the pay of the watchman.

5. Copies of letters No.G.2-32/26-27 dated 20th May and 29th September 1927 together with their enclosures are sent for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

18/10

Letter No.C-2-32/2C-27 dated 20th May 1927 from the [illegible] missioner, Bangalore District to the [illegible] Mysore.

"In continuation of this office letter No.C.2-32/2C-27 dated 21st April 1927 forwarding copy of Bangalore District Board [illegible] letter No.C.1220/23-27 dated the 5th April 1927 with an extract from the resolution of the Bangalore District Board agreeing to cancel the Taluq Board's Chattram at Channapatna under their control, I have the honour to state that both the Amildar of Channapatna taluk and the Sub-division officer, Closepet Sub Division have recommended that the building may be attached to Varadaraja Swami temple at Channapatna and Lakshmiramana Swami temple at Mallur (both are major institutions), and that a rent of Re.1/- per mensem may be collected, the former institution paying Rs.8 and the latter Rs.3/- per mensem. They have also recommended that the annual repairs might be met from the annual grants of both the institutions.

2. I agree with their recommendation and request that you will be so good as to obtain early sanction of Government to keep the Chattram as a Mutual Institution. The cost of annual repairs and of monthly [illegible]

monthly establishment charges being met from the funds
Swami temple at Chennapuri and Apprameyaswami temple at Mallur
detailed above, and to permit Mr. Manisami Iyer, Railway contractor
to restore the building at his own cost subject to the conditions --
stipulated by him (vide this office letter No.C 3-32-26-27 dated the
2nd August 1926. I may add that I make this recommendation in view of
the fact that there is an Anjaneyaswami temple inside the building, which
I learnt when I visited Chittoor recently was at times entered by non-
Hindus. I am afraid this may lead to communal friction at any time.

3. The verrapolam records received with your ~~1st~~ Memo No.C.30-26-27
dated the 5th March 1927 and Clerk Sub Division Officer's Medi No.
A-3-42, 26-27 dated 3rd May 1927 are herewith sent for perusal and kind
return."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.3-2-8, dated [illegible] from the [illegible] Commissioner, [illegible]

"[illegible] to [illegible] dated [illegible] with [illegible] dated 27th May [illegible] the [illegible] Chhattisgarh [illegible] Chhattisgarh, I have the [illegible] to [illegible] that [illegible] and the [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and Rs.[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] obtained from the Municipal Fund.

2. I request that early orders of Government may be obtained in the matter."

"C O P Y"

[signature]

For Financial Commissioner.

MM

APP. F. VI. Gl.1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..483..

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mny)
the 192

Date of issue..17/4/27

Signed by..Mr M.V. Iyengar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Mucz
File No. 96-27
Seria No. 2

No. 800

The mefile

To The Mny Comr in Mysore.
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C 30 of 26/2/9-10 27 in the matter of the contribution proposed to be paid from the funds of the Sri Varadarajaswami Temple and Sri Appaiamayaswami Temple at Channapatna towards the pay of the care-taker proposed to be appointed for the watch & ward of the Chatram at Channapatna, after its restoration by Mr Muniswami Iyer, Contractor, I am directed

over

to state that the Distt Board
may keep the building as a
Museum & Library, if they like
and that it is not desirable
to sanction expenditure out of
the two temples for the maintenance
of Hig[illegible] with which they
have nothing to do.
? The enclos are returned.

Yours etc

MW
11/4

Secretary
to Govt

8/1
7.11.27

Govt.
7.11.27